

OCHDL Is Pleased to Announce that Attorney Margarita A. Castaneda Has Joined the Firm

Attorney **Margarita A. Castaneda**, a graduate of Marquette University Law School, recently joined the Milwaukee law firm O’Neil, Cannon, Hollman, DeJong & Laing S.C. Margarita will join the Business Law Practice Group and will assist clients in all matters relating to general corporate law. Prior to joining the firm Margarita was a judicial intern for the United States Court of Appeals for the Seventh Circuit.

O’Neil, Cannon, Hollman, DeJong & Laing S.C., founded in Milwaukee in 1973, is a full-service legal practice that primarily focuses on providing business law and civil litigation services to closely-held businesses and their owners. The firm represents corporations, institutions, and partnerships at all stages of the business life cycle, helping them start, grow and transition from one generation to the next. We also assist business owners with their personal legal needs including tax and estate planning, family law and litigation—including personal injury litigation.

Eighteen OCHDL Attorneys Named 2020 Best Lawyers in America®

O’Neil, Cannon, Hollman, DeJong & Laing S.C. is pleased to announce that eighteen lawyers have been named to the 2020 Edition of *Best Lawyers*, the oldest and most respected peer-review publication in the legal profession.

Best Lawyers has published their list for over three decades, earning the respect of the profession, the media, and the public as the most reliable, unbiased source of legal referrals. Its first international list was published in 2006 and since then has grown to provide lists in over 75 countries.

“For more than a third of the century,” says CEO Steven Naifeh, “Best Lawyers has been the gold standard of excellence in the legal profession.” President Phil Greer adds, “We are extremely proud of that record and equally proud to acknowledge the accomplishments of these exceptional legal professionals.”

Lawyers on *The Best Lawyers in America* list are divided by geographic region and practice areas. They are reviewed by their peers on the basis of professional expertise, and undergo an authentication process to make sure they are in current practice and in good standing.

We would like to congratulate the following attorneys named to the 2020 *Best Lawyers in*

America list:

- Jean M. Ansay - Commercial Litigation, Tax Law
- Douglas P. Dehler - Litigation - Insurance
- James G. DeJong - Corporate Law, Mergers and Acquisitions Law, Securities / Capital Markets Law
- Seth E. Dizard - Bankruptcy and Creditor Debtor Rights / Insolvency and Reorganization Law, Litigation Bankruptcy
- Peter J. Faust - Corporate Law, Mergers and Acquisitions Law
- John G. Gehringer - Commercial Litigation, Construction Law, Corporate Law, Real Estate Law
- Joseph E. Gumina - Litigation - Labor and Employment
- Carl D. Holborn - Trusts and Estates
- Dennis W. Hollman - Corporate Law, Trusts and Estates
- Grant C. Killoran - Litigation - Health Care
- Dean P. Laing - Commercial Litigation, Personal Injury Litigation - Plaintiffs, Product Liability Litigation - Defendants
- Gregory W. Lyons - Commercial Litigation, Litigation - Insurance
- Patrick G. McBride - Commercial Litigation
- Thomas A. Merkle - Family Law
- Chad J. Richter - Business Organizations (including LLCs and Partnerships)
- John Schreiber - Bankruptcy and Creditor Debtor Rights / Insolvency and Reorganization Law, Litigation - Bankruptcy
- Steven J. Slawinski - Construction Law
- William A. Wiseman - Bankruptcy and Creditor Debtor Rights / Insolvency and Reorganization Law, Bet-the-Company Litigation, Commercial Litigation, Corporate Law

Since it was first published in 1983, *Best Lawyers* has become universally regarded as the definitive guide to legal excellence. *Best Lawyers* is based on an exhaustive peer-review survey. Over 54,000 leading attorneys cast more than 7.3 million votes on the legal abilities of other lawyers in their practice areas. Lawyers are not required or allowed to pay a fee to be listed; therefore inclusion in *Best Lawyers* is considered a singular honor. *Corporate Counsel* magazine has called *Best Lawyers* “the most respected referral list of attorneys in practice.”

The WiLaw Quarterly Newsletter

Newsletter Article Highlights:

- Objecting to an Opposing Party’s Request for Attorney Fees Can Have Ramifications
- United States Supreme Court Clarifies Standard on Sanctions for Violating Bankruptcy Discharge

- Not Feeling so SECURE: Proposed Law Could be Costly for Non-Spouse IRA Beneficiaries
- Creation of New Task Force Signals Increased State Scrutiny of Wisconsin Worker Classification
- The Five Objectives of Good Succession Planning

Firm News:

- The Firm Welcomes Britany E. Morrison
- Firm Wins Trifecta
- Attorney Dean Laing Named “Lawyer of the Year”
- Attorney Christa Wittenberg Wins 2019 Judge Terence T. Evans Humor and Creativity in Law Competition
- Attorney Laura Lavey Reappointed as Chair of the State Bar of Wisconsin’s Leadership Development Committee
- Attorney Grant Killoran Appointed Co-Chair of the Wisconsin Fellows of the American Bar Foundation

Click the image below to read more.



Kelly Kuglitsch Reelected as Vice Chairperson of the State Bar of Wisconsin Taxation Law Section Board

Attorney **Kelly S. Kuglitsch** was elected to another one-year term (beginning July 1, 2019) as the Vice Chair of the Board of the State Bar of Wisconsin Taxation Law Section. The Taxation Law Section has more than 500 members. The Board’s mission is to provide its members with a forum to discuss issues pertaining to federal and state taxation, as well as to recommend legislation and to provide opportunities for professional education and networking.

Kelly Kuglitsch is a member of the firm’s Employment Law Practice Group and specializes in

employee benefits and compensation. She frequently represents employers facing IRS, or DOL audits or assessments relating to qualified retirement plans, health plans, payroll tax, excise taxes, ACA Employer Shared Responsibility Payments (a.k.a. ACA penalties), and M&A benefits transition issues. She also provides counsel on a variety of technical ERISA and tax compliance matters, including executive compensation, nonqualified plans, Internal Revenue Code Section 409A, and technical benefit plan corrections. Kelly was originally elected to the Taxation Section Board in 2015, and has served as an Officer in various capacities since 2016.

If you would like to contact Kelly, she can be reached at 414-276-5000 or Kelly.Kuglitsch@wilaw.com.

Erica Reib Re-elected to the Board of the State Bar's Labor and Employment Section

Attorney **Erica N. Reib** was recently re-elected to the Board of the Labor and Employment Section of the State Bar. The State Bar of Wisconsin provides opportunities for lawyers to work on issues that matter to them and the public they serve. The Labor and Employment Section includes new and experienced attorneys who practice labor and employment law. The section keeps members up-to-date on recent developments in the law. The section also allows members to exchange information and opinions on various labor topics and legal issues in the workplace.

Erica is a member of O'Neil, Cannon, Hollman, DeJong and Laing S.C.'s Employment Law Practice Group. She assists clients with employment discrimination litigation, non-competition and trade secret litigation, OSHA matters, wage and hour issues, NLRB and unfair labor practice matters, employment policy and agreement drafting and review, unemployment compensation, investigations and proper employment practices to avoid litigation. She volunteers her time at Marquette Volunteer Legal Clinic and Milwaukee Justice Center, and is a board member and legal committee chair at the Audio & Braille Literacy Enhancement, Inc.

If you would like to contact Erica, she can be reached at 414-276-5000 or erica.reib@wilaw.com.

Dean P. Laing Named “Lawyer of the Year”

On July 16, 2019 **Dean P. Laing** was named “Lawyer of the Year” by the Milwaukee Bar Association at a reception attended by more than 300 attorneys. Dean was recognized for winning two cases at the Wisconsin Supreme Court for defendants and settling several million dollar plus cases on behalf of plaintiffs during the past year. In presenting Dean with the award, the President of the Milwaukee Bar Association stated that Dean is recognized as “one of Wisconsin’s top trial attorneys.”

Dean can be reached at 414-276-5000 or dean.laing@wilaw.com.

Firm Wins Trifecta

O’Neil, Cannon, Hollman, DeJong & Laing S.C. was victorious in three cases before the Wisconsin Supreme Court this year, all involving issues of first impression in Wisconsin.

In the first case, decided on January 29, 2019, the Supreme Court held that bad faith under the Uniform Fiduciaries Act (“UFA”) requires proof by a bank customer of bank dishonesty whereby the bank willfully failed to investigate compelling and obvious known facts suggesting fiduciary misconduct because of a deliberate desire to evade knowledge of fiduciary misconduct.

In *Koss v. Park Bank*, 2019 WI 7, 385 Wis. 2d 261, 922 N.W.2d 20, an employee of Koss Corporation embezzled \$34 million from the Company over a 10-year period. A significant portion of the embezzled funds came from cashier’s checks obtained by the employee from the employer’s bank accounts at Park Bank, which the employee used for her personal benefit. After the embezzlement was discovered, Koss Corporation sued Park Bank, alleging that Park Bank should have discovered the embezzlement earlier and reported it to Koss Corporation, and its failure to do so was bad faith under the UFA, which precludes claims of negligence against banks. After five years of litigation, the trial court dismissed the case on summary judgment, finding that Koss Corporation failed to meet the high standard for establishing bad faith under the UFA. The Court of Appeals affirmed the dismissal, and the Supreme Court did so as well.

In a 2-3-2 decision, the Supreme Court held that the following “foundational principles” are applicable in analyzing a bank’s conduct when bad faith is asserted under the UFA: (1) bad

faith is an intentional tort requiring that a bank employee suspected fiduciary misconduct but purposefully failed to investigate out of a fear of discovering the misconduct; (2) bad faith is reviewed on a transaction by transaction basis, such that the facts known by each individual bank employee are not aggregated to form collective knowledge of the bank; (3) whether a bank acted in bad faith is determined at the time of the breach of fiduciary duty, not by looking back at transactions that occurred many months earlier; and (4) considerations of bad faith require analyses of a bank's actions to determine its subjective intent.

In applying these bank-friendly standards and principles, the Supreme Court held that “none of Koss Corporation’s factual allegations asserted, or even implied, that Park Bank acted dishonestly such as being motivated by self-interest with regard to the transactions [the customer’s employee] initiated,” and “none of Koss Corporation’s allegations assert that Park Bank suspected that [the customer’s employee] was acting improperly.” Concluding, the Supreme Court held that, while “[t]here is much here from which a claim of negligence could be made,” negligence is not sufficient to establish bad faith under the UFA.

In the second case, decided on March 14, 2019, the Supreme Court held that a business purpose is not required in order for land to be classified as “agricultural land” for property tax purposes. In *State ex rel. Ogden Family Tr. v. Bd. of Review*, 2019 WI 23, 385 Wis. 2d 676, 923 N.W.2d 837, the Ogdens owned property in the Town of Delafield that was originally classified as “agricultural land,” thereby resulting in a low assessed value for property tax purposes. In 2016 the Town reclassified the property as “residential” on the grounds that the property was not being used for a business purpose, which resulted in a 50-times increase in the assessed value of the property. The Ogdens challenged the reclassification, arguing that the property is used primarily to harvest apples and hay for food and fiber, and to grow Christmas trees, which are agricultural uses. The Town failed to budge, determining that a business purpose is required for land to be classified as “agricultural land” for property tax purposes. The Ogdens filed a petition for certiorari review, which the trial court rejected, siding with the Town.

The Ogdens appealed, and the Court of Appeals reversed, concluding that a business purpose is not necessary for land to be classified as “agricultural land” for property tax purposes. The Supreme Court affirmed, in a unanimous decision, holding that section 70.32(2)(c)1g., Wis. Stats., merely requires the “growing” of crops, not the marketing, selling, or profiting from them, for land to be classified as “agricultural.” As a result, the Supreme Court held that “[a] business purpose is not required in order for land to be classified as ‘agricultural’ for property tax purposes.”

In the third case, decided on May 23, 2019, the Supreme Court held that constructive trust is a remedy, not a cause of action. In *Tikalsky v. Friedman*, 2019 WI 56, 386 Wis. 2d 757, 928 N.W.2d 502, Tikalsky’s parents disinherited him from their estates, leaving their entire estates to their other three children, equally. Following his parents’ deaths, Tikalsky sued his siblings, alleging that two of them intentionally interfered with his expected inheritance. As to

his third sibling, Tikalsky sued her for constructive trust arguing that, even though she was innocent of any wrongdoing, she is in possession of a portion of his expected inheritance and, if he prevails on his claims against his other two siblings, his innocent sibling should be required to disgorge the excess portion she received from her inheritance.

The trial court dismissed the innocent sibling from the lawsuit on the grounds that no cause of action for liability was asserted against her and, without a finding of liability against a party, no remedy can be ordered against that party. The Court of Appeals reversed, holding that constructive trust is available against an innocent beneficiary if wrongful conduct is found against any party and it would be inequitable for the innocent beneficiary to hold onto the property received as a result of the wrongdoing.

In a 4-3 decision, the Supreme Court reversed the Court of Appeals' decision and held that "constructive trust is a remedy, not a cause of action." The Supreme Court further held that, while "a constructive trust may be imposed on property in the possession of one who is wholly innocent of any" wrongdoing, that remedy is only available where the innocent beneficiary "came into possession of property that was already burdened with a constructive trust," *i.e.*, the owner of the property must have conveyed the property to an innocent beneficiary in violation of a duty to transfer it to the plaintiff (such as by a court order in a divorce proceeding). Concluding, the Supreme Court held that Tikalsky's parents "violated no duty to [Tikalsky] when they caused their estate planning documents to transfer part of their estate to [Tikalsky's innocent sibling]" and, as a result, "where there is no violated duty . . . there can be no constructive trust."

Dean Laing represented the bank in *Koss* and the innocent beneficiary in *Tikalsky*, and Paul Zimmer represented the landowner in *Ogden*. They can be reached at 414-276-5000.

Attorney Grant Killoran Appointed Co-Chair of the Wisconsin Fellows of the American Bar Foundation

Grant Killoran recently was appointed to a three year term as Co-Chair of the Wisconsin Fellows of the American Bar Foundation, beginning September 1, 2019.

The Fellows of the American Bar Foundation is a global honorary society of attorneys, judges, law faculty, and legal scholars whose public and private careers have demonstrated outstanding dedication to the highest principles of the legal profession and the welfare of their communities. Established in 1955, the Fellows support the research of the American Bar Foundation and currently has a membership totaling over 14,000 individuals across the globe. Membership in the Fellows is limited to one percent of lawyers licensed to practice in

each jurisdiction.

The American Bar Foundation is among the world's leading research institutes for the empirical and interdisciplinary study of law. An independent, nonprofit organization for more than 65 years, it seeks to advance the understanding and improvement of law through research projects on the most pressing issues facing the legal system in the United States and around the world today. It seeks to expand knowledge and advance justice through innovative, interdisciplinary, and rigorous empirical research on law, legal processes, and legal institutions. Its research findings are published in a wide range of forums, including leading academic journals, law reviews, and academic and commercial presses.

For more information on the Fellows of the American Bar Foundation and the American Bar Foundation, visit www.americanbarfoundation.org.

Grant Killoran is a shareholder with the law firm of O'Neil, Cannon, Hollman, DeJong & Laing S.C. and is the Chair of its Litigation Practice Group. He has significant and diverse trial experience representing clients in Wisconsin State and Federal Courts, and courts around the country, focusing on complex business and health care disputes.

Grant Killoran can be reached at grant.killoran@wilaw.com or 414-276-5000.

Laura Lavey Reappointed as Chair of the State Bar of Wisconsin's Leadership Development Committee

Attorney Laura Lavey was recently reappointed by the President of the State Bar of Wisconsin to serve as Chair of the State Bar's Leadership Development Committee. The Leadership Development Committee seeks to recruit, train and engage future State Bar leaders to sustain a strong and dynamic legal profession, to encourage public service and to promote Bar leadership. State Bar members can learn more about the Leadership Development Committee and the programs it offers by visiting the State Bar's website: www.wisbar.org. Attorney Lavey has been a member of the Leadership Development Committee since July 2014. She is excited to continue furthering the goals of the Committee during her second term as Chair.

Attorney Lavey is a shareholder in O'Neil, Cannon, Hollman, DeJong & Laing's Litigation Practice Group. She represents clients in the prosecution and defense of civil litigation matters in both state and federal court, primarily in the areas of commercial and business litigation.

Attorney Christa Wittenberg Wins 2019 Judge Terence T. Evans Humor and Creativity in Law Competition

Christa Wittenberg was recently announced the winner of the 2019 Judge Terence T. Evans Humor and Creativity in Law Competition, sponsored by the Eastern District of Wisconsin Bar Association. The award is given to one attorney each year whose original creative law-related writing piece is selected by the review committee. The competition honors the memory of the Honorable Terence T. Evans, former judge of the U.S. District Court, Eastern District of Wisconsin, and U.S. Court of Appeals for the Seventh Circuit, who was known for his wit and creativity throughout his life and his work. At the EDWBA Annual Meeting in April, Attorney Wittenberg happily accepted the award of a traveling trophy. Her winning article is below:

Boot Camp for Litigators: An Unconventional, Immersive CLE

By: Christa D. Wittenberg

Are you a litigator looking to improve your skills? Stuck in a career rut? Wishing you could practice the essential soft skills that make lawyers effective in and out of the courtroom? Try our 12-week intensive crash course: Parental Leave, also known as Boot Camp for Litigators. A brand new baby is required for this course; you will need to supply your own.

This innovative CLE emphasizes the skills that separate good lawyers from great lawyers, which are the same skills new babies force upon their parents: tenacity, flexibility, heightened awareness, creativity, and the ability to sift through crap. Our boot camp will give you the skills necessary to make you the litigator you've always dreamed of becoming. It's guaranteed to give you the confidence to tell your opponents you can beat them while using just one arm. Literally.

The course focuses on the following areas:

Sleep deprivation resistance training: You will simulate the long days and sleepless nights of trial. Boasting 3-4 hours of interrupted sleep nightly, our boot camp will teach you to be impervious to the side effects of exhaustion in the highest stakes environment: your child's life depends on it.

Thinking quickly on your feet: Improvising and adapting to challenging circumstances are crucial skills for litigators. Test your mental and emotional dexterity with countless tear-your-hair-out moments, like diaper blowouts, incessant screaming for no apparent reason, fending off well-meaning strangers trying to touch your child, politely nodding at your relatives' terrible baby advice, and wrestling clothing onto your flailing infant. Like with any good improvisation class, instead of saying "no, please, no," you'll learn to say "yes, and"

You'll roll with the punches and make it work, because there's really no alternative. After completing this boot camp, the next time you combat a challenging witness or argue your point to a frowning judge, your experienced brain will be hardwired to assess the situation and react deftly.

Reading a jury: Knowing whether a juror's grimace is disbelief, sympathy, or merely the burrito he had for lunch is an important skill that allows you to adjust your trial strategy on the fly and win the case. After spending 12 weeks trying to guess the reasons for your baby's many, many cries, you will find reading a fully-formed adult as easy as reading a book.

Public speaking: There's simultaneously no tougher and no easier audience than a crying baby who could not care less what you are saying. If you can soothe an infant with a spontaneous, animated speech about the jungle animals swinging from his mobile, handling an opening statement will be a breeze.

Perseverance through tedium: We all know the exciting and glamorous parts of litigation—trials, depositions, oral arguments—don't come along every day, and that it's the preparation and background work that make up the bulk of our work as litigators. Sifting through thousands of documents for the needle in the haystack, poring over mountains of raw data to build a case, researching all variants of every possible legal theory to support your claims—such work cannot be done without the ability to persist in the face of extreme boredom. At our boot camp, you will face colossal tedium. For 12 weeks, around the clock, your life will follow a dimly predictable cycle: Feed. Change diaper. Soothe. Sleep. Repeat. After you complete this mind-numbing routine for that long, reading every Seventh Circuit decision on diversity jurisdiction since 1950 will sound like some welcome fun.

Dealing with demanding clients: You'll rarely meet a client more irrational than an infant, and you don't often have a client who screams at you more than your unsmiling newborn incapable of any other form of communication. Let your little one reinforce your talent for service with a smile.

Prioritizing: Parenting, like lawyering, is all about prioritizing. Imagine you have a brief due at midnight, a deposition tomorrow, and a demanding client calling every ten minutes. Now imagine you're in the nursery, there's spit-up on your shirt, poop everywhere else, and a hungry screaming infant lying on the changing table. In both scenarios, the key to success is efficiently tackling the problems in order of priority. Our boot camp will allow you to practice your triage skills in the relative comfort of your own home. For example, you might currently think showering every day or eating your meals while they're hot are important, but you'll soon learn otherwise. The same goes when you're up against competing deadlines and demands—except you'll probably still want to shower when handling your workplace challenges if you don't want to offend your colleagues.

Gaining perspective: A healthy dose of perspective can help lawyers keep a clear head,

even under great stress. Yes, we all want to do good work, win our cases, and strive for justice. But no single project or case will define you unless you let it. Caring for your child—for 12 weeks and beyond—will force you to slow down and see the forest instead of the trees.

Participants are admitted to Boot Camp for Litigators on a rolling basis. Sign up early, as there is typically a 9-month wait list for this life-changing course. This 12-week intensive course is pre-approved for 2,016 hours of CLE credits. Boot Camp for Litigators can be repeated as many times as you wish; the difficulty level increases each time.

Are you ready to see if our Boot Camp for Litigators can make you a better lawyer? Make the commitment today—if you dare!
