

## **EEOC CRACKS DOWN ON EMPLOYERS' USE OF CRIMINAL BACKGROUND CHECKS**

Although having a criminal record in itself does not afford individuals protection under Title VII, it is the EEOC's position that the use of criminal records in making employment decisions has a disproportionate effect on certain racial and ethnic groups, which may have a discriminatory effect on those racial or ethnic groups who *are* afforded protections under Title VII.

In April of last year, the EEOC issued guidance to employers regarding the use of arrest or conviction records in making employment decisions. The EEOC makes clear in its guidelines that the law does not expressly prohibit the use of criminal background checks, however, urges employers to conduct an individualized assessment when utilizing criminal background information to consider the nature and gravity of the crime, the time elapsed since the conviction, and whether the circumstances of the arrest or conviction are substantially related to the nature or requirements of the particular job. Employers that disproportionately reject minority employment candidates as a result of criminal background checks may be subject to a discrimination claim based upon a disparate impact theory of discrimination.

Since the issuance of its criminal background check guidelines, the EEOC has stepped up its enforcement efforts and has begun to systematically crack-down on employers, alleging that employers' blanket policies regarding criminal background checks and arrest or conviction records constitute discriminatory hiring practices. In June 2013, the EEOC filed lawsuits against two large employers for their use of criminal background checks in making hiring decisions. The EEOC's district office in Charlotte, South Carolina filed suit against a large auto manufacturer alleging that it disproportionately screened out African Americans from jobs by implementing and utilizing a criminal conviction policy that denies facility access to employees and employees of contractors who have certain criminal convictions on their record. The EEOC's Chicago, Illinois office also filed a separate suit against a retailer alleging that its policy of conditioning all job offers on criminal background checks has a disproportionate impact on African-American applicants, and, therefore, is unlawful under Title VII.

The EEOC is not the only agency stepping up its enforcement efforts regarding employers' use of arrest and conviction records. A growing number of states and cities have recently enacted "Ban the Box" legislation that regulates the use of criminal background checks in

employment decisions. The purpose of the “Ban the Box” laws is to prohibit covered employers from inquiring about a job applicant’s criminal background and conviction record on a job application and delay background checks until later in the hiring process. Wisconsin has not yet enacted Ban the Box legislation.

***So, what does this all mean for you?***

The EEOC’s guidance and the Ban the Box legislation trend can present challenges for employers who want to protect clients/customers and other employees from individuals with violent backgrounds or avoid placing people convicted of certain financial crimes in accounting positions or other positions where they are handling money. Certain employers, such as schools or health care providers, should also keep in mind the potential conflict between these laws and other legal requirements that prohibit the employer from employing individuals who have certain criminal convictions in these sensitive positions.

Employers must appropriately balance the EEOC’s guiding principles with these practical considerations. Employers should carefully review their policies and practices regarding criminal background checks and the use of arrest and conviction records in making employment decisions to be sure that these policies do not contradict the EEOC’s guidance or violate Title VII’s prohibition against discrimination.