

EMPLOYMENT LAWSCENE ALERT: HONESTY IS THE BEST POLICY IN PERFORMANCE REVIEWS

On February 10, 2015, the *Wall Street Journal* published an article entitled “Everything Is Awesome! Why You Can’t Tell Employees They’re Doing a Bad Job” extolling the virtues of praising employees’ strengths and scaling back on criticism. Although this may be good for employees’ confidence levels, it is bad for companies when they have to defend a discrimination lawsuit or oppose a bid for unemployment benefits. For example, in September 2011, a New York woman sued in federal court claiming that her employer “mommy-tracked” her by attempting to demote her, refusing to promote her, and cutting her bonus after she took maternity leave, despite repeatedly earning positive performance ratings during her career with the company. The company argued that she was lawfully terminated because her reviews were done by an “easy grader” and she was not meeting the company’s other metrics. In January 2015, the federal judge overseeing the case stated that the case looked strong enough to go to trial due in part to the questions of fact presented by the positive performance reviews.

Performance reviews are valuable tools for employers. While they may be used to boost an employee’s self-esteem and confidence, employers should carefully train their supervisors and manager to give honest feedback and critiques when necessary. Problems should not be sugar-coated; the issue, the steps to correct the issue, and the consequences for failing to correct the issue need to be included in evaluations and reviews. These honest assessments on an employee’s performance are essential to being able to discipline and terminate an employee if that becomes necessary, as well as defending the company from a lawsuit or claim for unemployment compensation.