

# EMPLOYMENT LAWSCENE ALERT: SIXTH CIRCUIT SELECTED TO HEAR CHALLENGES TO OSHA'S COVID-19 VACCINATION MANDATE

On Tuesday, November 16, 2021, the U.S. Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation held a lottery-style drawing to select which of the 12 federal circuit court of appeals where petitions for review are currently pending as to which circuit will hear the challenges to OSHA's emergency temporary standard mandating COVID-19 vaccinations in the workplace. Through that lottery process, the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Sixth Circuit was selected. As a result, the U.S. Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation issued a consolidation order consolidating before the Sixth Circuit all of the petitions for review now pending in the various federal circuit court of appeals.

On Friday, November 12, 2021, the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Fifth Circuit issued a 22-page decision (linked [here](#)) continuing its November 6th order that stayed the implementation and enforcement of OSHA's emergency temporary standard mandating COVID-19 vaccinations in the workplace. Subsequently, OSHA issued a statement in response to the Fifth Circuit's decision that it would suspend the implementation and enforcement of its emergency temporary standard pending the outcome of the litigation. Relative to the Fifth Circuit's decision, the Sixth Circuit has three options as it can either adopt, modify, or vacate the Fifth Circuit's decision.

The Sixth Circuit, located in Cincinnati, Ohio, oversees the federal district courts covering the states of Kentucky, Michigan, Ohio, and Tennessee. There are 16 total judges on the Sixth Circuit: 11 Republican appointees and 5 Democratic appointees. Six of the Republican appointees were appointed by President Trump and five were appointed by President George W. Bush, while the five Democratic appointments were made by Presidents Clinton and Obama. Although the consolidated petitions for review will be heard by a randomly selected three judge panel, based on the overall makeup of the Sixth Circuit, the chances are relatively high that the mandate will continue to be blocked.

Despite the possible variations of the makeup of the randomly selected judicial panel from the Sixth Circuit, the case could be heard by the Sixth Circuit *en banc* (meaning that the full judicial panel consisting of all judges in regular active service could decide the case). The Sixth Circuit disfavors *en banc* proceedings unless the proceeding involves a question of exceptional importance. To hear a case *en banc*, a majority of the circuit judges who are in regular active service and who are not disqualified may order that the case be heard or reheard by the court *en banc*. It will be interesting to see if the Sixth Circuit decides to permit the consolidated petitions for review to proceed before a randomly selected three-judge panel or if it will decide to initially hear the case *en banc*. For now, the Fifth Circuit's stay

remains in place.

As always, we will keep you updated on this important issue as matters develop.

---

## **EMPLOYMENT LAWSCENE ALERT: FIFTH CIRCUIT ISSUES STRONG REBUKE OF OSHA'S AUTHORITY TO MANDATE VACCINATIONS IN THE WORKPLACE-OSHA SUSPENDS EFFORTS**

On Friday, November 12, 2021, the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Fifth Circuit issued a 22-page decision (linked [here](#)) continuing its November 6th order that stayed the implementation and enforcement of OSHA's emergency temporary standard mandating COVID-19 vaccinations in the workplace. In a strong rebuke of the Biden's Administration's desire to vaccinate as many Americans as possible through use of OSHA's emergency temporary standard provision (29 U.S.C. § 655(c)) found in the Occupational Safety and Health Act, the Fifth Circuit found that OSHA exceeded its statutory and constitutional authorities when it issued its emergency temporary standard by finding that "[t]here is no clear expression of congressional intent in § 655(c) to convey OSHA such broad authority, and this court will not infer one...[n]or can the Article II executive breathe new power into OSHA's authority—no matter how thin patience wears." The Fifth Circuit further found that continuing the stay was in the public interest because it "is also served by maintaining our constitutional structure and maintaining the liberty of individuals to make intensely personal decisions according to their own convictions—even, or perhaps *particularly*, when those decisions frustrate government officials." (Emphasis original).

The Fifth Circuit concluded that the Constitution vests Congress with limited legislative powers; and these powers cannot be usurped by federal regulatory action. The Fifth Circuit stated:

*The Constitution vests a limited legislative power in Congress. For more than a century, Congress has routinely used this power to delegate policymaking specifics and technical details to executive agencies charged with effectuating policy principles Congress lays down. In the mine run of cases—a transportation department regulating trucking on an interstate highway, or an aviation agency regulating an airplane lavatory—this is generally well and good. But health agencies do not make housing policy, and occupational safety administrations do not make health policy. Cf. Ala. Ass'n of Realtors, 141 S. Ct. 2488-90. In seeking to do so here, OSHA runs afoul of the statute*

*from which it draws its power and, likely, violates the constitutional structure that safeguards our collective liberty.*

The Fifth Circuit ordered that OSHA take no steps to implement or enforce its emergency temporary standard mandating COVID-19 vaccinations in the workplace until further order of the court. In response, OSHA issued the following statement on its website:

*On November 12, 2021, the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Fifth Circuit granted a motion to stay OSHA's COVID-19 Vaccination and Testing Emergency Temporary Standard, published on November 5, 2021 (86 Fed. Reg. 61402) ("ETS"). The court ordered that OSHA "take no steps to implement or enforce" the ETS "until further court order." While OSHA remains confident in its authority to protect workers in emergencies, OSHA has suspended activities related to the implementation and enforcement of the ETS pending future developments in the litigation.*

Despite the Fifth Circuit's decision, the issue is far from being resolved as challenges to OSHA's emergency temporary standard mandating COVID-19 vaccinations in the workplace is now pending in multiple federal circuits. On Tuesday, November 16, 2021, pursuant to the federal rules for multi-circuit litigation, a lottery will be held by the Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation randomly selecting the federal circuit that will host and decide the ultimate fate of OSHA's emergency temporary standard—albeit the U.S. Supreme Court will most likely have the final word in this important debate on the reach of federal regulatory authority. As always, we will keep you updated on this important issue as matters develop.

---

## **EMPLOYMENT LAWSCENE ALERT: OSHA ISSUES DETAILS OF VACCINE MANDATE**

Today, the U.S. Department of Labor's Occupational Safety and Health Administration ("OSHA") released the [Emergency Temporary Standard](#) regarding COVID-19 Vaccination and Testing, which has commonly been referred to as the Vaccine Mandate. It will officially be published on November 5, 2021. Announced by President Biden in September, the Vaccine Mandate requires all employers with more than 100 employees to either require that employees be fully vaccinated or require unvaccinated employees to submit to weekly COVID-19 tests, both of which are subject to reasonable accommodations for disabilities and sincerely held religious beliefs. The Vaccine Mandate does not apply to individual employees who do not report to a workplace where other individuals such as coworkers or customers are present, employees while they are working from home, or employees who work exclusively outdoors. Although the majority of the Vaccine Mandate officially goes into effect on January

4, 2022, employers need to start preparing immediately in order to be in full compliance by that date, including establishing and implementing the required written policies. Certain provisions, including the fact that employers must offer paid time-off for employees to receive the COVID-19 vaccinations and recover from any side-effects and must require unvaccinated employees to wear masks, go into effect on December 5, 2021.

For employees who opt to utilize the testing requirement, employers must keep records of each test unvaccinated employees take. If an employee is not vaccinated and does not receive a weekly test or if the employee tests positive for COVID-19, the employer must remove that employee from the workplace. A covered employer may require employees to pay for their own COVID-19 testing.

In order to assess whether or not an employer has 100 employees, employers are required to count all full-time and part-time employees at all of their locations, whether or not they work at the company's facility or remotely. Employers are not required to count independent contractors or leased employees, such as those from staffing agencies. Additionally, franchisees may count their employees separately from the franchisor and from other franchisees. Here are some examples provided in the ETS:

- If an employer has 75 part-time employees and 25 full-time employees, the employer would be within the scope of this ETS because it has 100 employees.
- If an employer has 102 employees and only 3 ever report to an office location, that employer would be covered.
- If a single corporation has 50 small locations (e.g., kiosks, concession stands) with at least 100 total employees in its combined locations, that employer would be covered even if some of the locations have no more than one or two employees assigned to work there.
- If a host employer has 80 permanent employees and 30 temporary employees supplied by a staffing agency, the host employer would not count the staffing agency employees for coverage purposes and therefore would not be covered. (So long as the staffing agency has at least 100 employees, however, the staffing agency would be responsible for ensuring compliance with the ETS for the jointly employed workers.)
- Generally, in a traditional franchisor-franchisee relationship, if the franchisor has more than 100 employees but each individual franchisee has fewer than 100 employees, the franchisor would be covered by this ETS but the individual franchises would not be covered.

The Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services issued its own [emergency rule](#) requiring healthcare workers at hospitals, nursing homes, and other facilities that participate in Medicare and Medicaid to be fully vaccinated by January 4, 2022, but its rule does not allow for a weekly testing option. In the event of an overlap between the CMS rule and the OSHA rule, the CMS rule will govern. Additionally, in any overlap between the OSHA rule and the requirement that federal contractors be vaccinated, the federal requirement will govern.

The Vaccine Mandate, which has already received significant pushback from certain lawmakers, attorneys general, and business groups, is likely to be challenged in court, and it could be enjoined prior to its effective date. However, employers should not rely on that possibility and should begin preparing now. As always, O'Neil Cannon is here for you and will keep you updated on developments on the Vaccine Mandate as they happen. We encourage you to reach out to our labor and employment law team with any questions, concerns, or legal issues you may have, including those regarding COVID-19 and related issues.

---

## **EMPLOYMENT LAWSCENE ALERT: WORKPLACE SAFETY IS A TOP PRIORITY FOR THE BIDEN ADMINISTRATION**

In our series discussing the new workplace initiatives under the Biden Administration, we will first look at the Biden Administration's efforts on protecting worker health and safety.

Simply, under the Biden Administration, employers should expect to see a more robust Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA), meaning ramped-up OSHA enforcement efforts, including more workplace inspections, more whistleblower protection, and the likely issuance of an emergency temporary standard to address the hazards of COVID-19 in the workplace. In light of the Biden Administration's concerted focus on workplace safety, it behooves all employers to take notice of OSHA's new enforcement policies now, and to review and update, if necessary, all health and safety programs before OSHA knocks on your door.

### **New DOL Secretary and Deputy Assistant Secretary of Labor for OSHA**

To lead the Biden Administration's charge in making workplace safety a top priority, President Biden has nominated Marty Walsh to be the new Secretary of Labor. Walsh is the former mayor of Boston and the former union leader of Boston's Building and Construction Trade Council, an umbrella group of 20 local construction unions. Many believe that Secretary nominee Walsh will be a strong and ardent advocate for worker safety given his background in the construction industry and his former roles as mayor and union leader where he was a strong vocal proponent for more stringent safety regulations for workers.

During his Senate confirmation hearing, Walsh committed to improving workplace safety by increasing the number of OSHA compliance officers and making sure that OSHA has the tools in place to protect workers during the COVID-19 crisis — Walsh's comments would seem to indicate that employers should expect an emergency temporary standard on mitigating and

eliminating COVID-19 hazards in the workplace, a national emphasis program on COVID-19, and increased inspections in workplaces where workers work in close proximity with other workers or customers.

To manage OSHA's new policies and expected emphasis programs, President Biden has chosen James Frederick, the former Assistant Director of the United Steelworkers' Health, Safety and Environment Department to lead OSHA to be the Deputy Assistant Secretary of Labor for OSHA. Fredrick has already commented that OSHA's new guidance on preventing COVID-19 in the workplace is OSHA's "first step" to make it clear "that OSHA is advocating for workers."

## **President's Executive Order and OSHA's New Guidance on COVID-19 in the Workplace**

On January 21, 2021, the day following the Presidential inauguration, President Biden issued an Executive Order outlining his administration's policy on protecting the health and safety of workers from COVID-19. President Biden's Executive Order established a five-step plan to combat COVID-19 in the workplace by requiring the Secretary of Labor, acting through the Deputy Assistant Secretary of Labor for OSHA, to:

1. Issue within two weeks revised OSHA guidance on workplace safety during the COVID-19 pandemic;
2. Consider, by March 15, 2021, whether any emergency temporary standards on COVID-19, including the use of masks in the workplace, are necessary;
3. Review the enforcement efforts of OSHA related to COVID-19 and to identify any changes that can be made to better protect workers and ensure equity in enforcement;
4. Launch a national program to focus OSHA enforcement efforts related to COVID-19 on violations that put the largest number of workers at serious risks or are contrary to anti-retaliation principles; and
5. Coordinate with the Department of Labor's Office of Public Affairs and Office of Public Engagement and all regional OSHA offices to conduct a multilingual outreach campaign to inform workers and their representatives of their rights under applicable law.

On January 29, 2021, consistent with President Biden's Executive Order, OSHA issued a detailed guidance entitled "Protecting Workers: Guidance on Mitigation and Preventing the Spread of COVID-19 in the Workplace." While not legally binding, OSHA, through this guidance, instructs employers on the appropriate control measures that should be implemented in the workplace to help mitigate and prevent the spread of COVID-19. Such measures include: conducting a hazard assessment; identifying a combination of measures that limit the spread of COVID-19 in the workplace (e.g., wearing face masks and social distancing), adopting measures to ensure that workers who are infected or potentially infected are separated and sent home from the workplace; and implementing protections from retaliation for workers who raise COVID-19 related concerns. Employers should consider this guidance as the stepping stone for OSHA to issue an emergency temporary standard on

mitigating and eliminating COVID-19 in the workplace — a directive that President Biden's Executive Order has mandated to be achieved by March 15, 2021.

### **A COVID-19 National Emphasis Program is Possible**

If OSHA issues an emergency temporary standard on mitigating and eliminating COVID-19, employers should also expect that a COVID-19 national emphasis program will come along with it. A COVID-19 national emphasis program will permit OSHA to ramp up inspections and target workplaces where OSHA believes, based on industry and Centers for Disease Control and Prevention ("CDC") data, that workers are most at risk for COVID-19. Presumably, OSHA will target those places of employment where workers work in close proximity to other workers or are forward-facing with customers and the general public. This can include meatpacking plants, warehouses, fulfillment centers, grocery stores, and other retail stores where workers have close contact with customers. If a COVID-19 national emphasis program is established, employers will be chosen randomly by OSHA for inspection based on program criteria rather than based on complaints or reports of accidents. Most employers believe that if they can prevent workplace accidents and avoid having employees complain to OSHA, they can avoid an OSHA inspection, but employers who fall within a national emphasis program's criterion must always be mindful that an OSHA inspection can occur at any time. The question for these employers is will they be ready for an OSHA inspection when OSHA comes knocking.

### **COVID-19 and a Robust OSHA Requires Employers to Be Proactive**

Employers should expect that OSHA will take a stronger and more enforcement-oriented approach to addressing COVID-19 in the workplace through new directives, emergency temporary standards, and policy guidelines mandated by the new Biden Administration. This will require employers to formalize, in writing, their COVID-19 response plan in the same manner that other safety programs are written and to also conduct regular training for all its workers to educate them on what actions they can take to help prevent the spread of COVID-19 in the workplace. Such training should include the obvious health and safety controls that can be put in place such as the requirement that all workers wear face masks, maintain social distancing, and that workers who are ill or exhibiting signs or symptoms of COVID-19 are sent home until they are cleared to return to work based on CDC guidelines.

Finally, employers should also note that as the COVID-19 vaccine becomes more widely available, employers should encourage all their workers to become vaccinated. OSHA recommends, however, that the same safety measures that are in place now to combat COVID-19 should remain in place even after workers are vaccinated. That is, both vaccinated and unvaccinated workers should follow the same safety measures, such as wearing masks and maintaining social distancing, because the CDC has not yet determined whether a vaccinated individual can transmit the COVID-19 virus even though they may have immunity

based on having received the vaccination. As a result, assuming that an emergency temporary standard on COVID-19 will be issued by OSHA, employers should take note that having a vaccinated workforce may not immune their workplace from OSHA citations if COVID-19 safety measures are not being followed and enforced.

As always, O'Neil Cannon is here for you. We encourage you to reach out to our labor and employment law team with any questions, concerns, or legal issues you may have regarding OSHA's new policies and directives under the Biden Administration.

---

## EMPLOYMENT LAWSCENE ALERT: WHAT'S A BIDEN PRESIDENCY GOING TO MEAN FOR EMPLOYERS? AN OVERVIEW

The labor and employment law policies and enforcement goals of the federal government rely largely on which party's administration occupies the White House. When inaugurated in January, President Joseph R. Biden made some immediate and significant changes that will affect employers. Also, based on President Biden's statements made during his campaign and the stated goals of others in the Democratic Party, decidedly pro-employee policies, enforcement goals, and legislation are very likely on the way. These changes are all but certain, now, with a Democratically controlled Congress. Over the next five weeks, the OCHDL employment law team will examine five labor and employment areas that employers should know and understand in order to navigate through the new and significant changes that the Biden Administration will likely make in the coming months and years. In the following weeks, we will cover:

- **OSHA:** On January 21, 2021, President Biden signed an Executive Order requiring OSHA to provide guidance to employers on workplace safety during the COVID-19 pandemic. In response, on January 29, 2021, OSHA issued guidance related to COVID-19. This guidance, as well as OSHA's enforcement policies regarding COVID-19, will likely continue to evolve under the new administration.
- **Wage and Hour:** This blog series will also cover potential wage and hour changes such as an updated federal minimum wage and the proposed Paycheck Fairness Act, which would expand the equal pay provisions contained in the FLSA and require that any pay differential between sexes be passed on "a bona fide factor other than sex, such as education, training, or experience."
- **Labor Law:** We'll discuss the future of the NLRB and labor law under a Biden Administration. Significant changes, including the roll back of certain enforcement guidance and the ousting of the General Counsel, have already occurred, and if campaign promises are to be believed, we could have significant additional changes,

including the passing of the Protecting the Right to Organize (PRO) Act, which would be a sweeping overhaul of federal labor law including prohibiting the use of class action waivers in arbitration agreements, making it easier for workers to form unions, limiting the impact of right-to-work laws, and codifying an expanded definition of what constitutes a joint employer.

- **Discrimination:** Then, we'll cover the Biden Administration's potential impact on issues of discrimination, including the Bringing an End to Harassment by Enhancing Accountability and Rejecting Discrimination in the Workplace (BE HEARD) Act, which would require most businesses to provide anti-harassment policies and training and would codify the prohibition of discrimination on the basis of sexual orientation, gender identity, pregnancy, childbirth, a medical condition related to pregnancy or childbirth, and a sex stereotype under Title VII.
- **DOL:** Finally, this blog series will wrap up with potential changes that could come through the Department of Labor, including changes to the independent contractor test, changes to the joint employer test, and expansions of the FMLA.

As always, O'Neil Cannon is here for you. We look forward to expounding on these topics over the next five weeks and providing you with timely and relevant information over the years to come. We encourage you to reach out with any questions, concerns, or legal issues you may have regarding the anticipated labor and employment law changes under the new Biden Administration.

---

## OSHA NEW ANTI-RETALIATION RULES GOES INTO EFFECT DECEMBER 1, 2016

On November 28, 2016, a Texas federal district court denied a motion for an injunction to block the December 1, 2016 implementation of the anti-retaliation provisions found in OSHA's new injury and reporting rule. Therefore, starting tomorrow, OSHA's new anti-retaliation provisions will limit post-accident and post-injury discipline and drug testing, as well as how accident and injury-related incentive programs can be administered by employers. These new rules will apply to all employers. Accordingly, all employers should review their safety-related policies and practices to determine if their existing policies or post-accident drug testing policies violate the new anti-retaliation rule.

Additionally, starting January 1, 2017, companies with 250 or more employees must electronically submit their OSHA 300, 300A, and 301 Forms, which cover information about workplace injuries and illnesses. Companies with 20-249 employees in certain "high risk" industries such as construction and manufacturing must electronically submit their OSHA 300A Forms. Our other coverage of these new OSHA rules can be found at our previous blogs [here](#) and [here](#) .

---

## EMPLOYMENT LAWSCENE ALERT: OSHA DELAYS ENFORCEMENT OF ANTI-RETALIATION PROVISIONS

On October 12, 2016, the Occupational Health and Safety Administration (“OSHA”) agreed to further delay the enforcement of the anti-retaliation provisions of the injury and illness tracking rule until December 1, 2016. Enforcement was originally scheduled to begin August 10, 2016 and then delayed until November 10, 2016. OSHA’s agreement to once again delay enforcement of its new anti-retaliation provisions is in response to a request from the U.S. District Court for the Northern District of Texas, which is currently considering a motion challenging OSHA’s new rules.

Despite its self-imposed delay in enforcement of its anti-retaliation provisions, last week, OSHA released a memo with examples discussing in more detail how the new anti-retaliation amendments will be interpreted and implemented by OSHA. See [OSHA Memorandum for Regional Administrators \(10/19/2016\)](#).

OSHA explained that its purpose in including the new anti-retaliation provisions is to address workplace retaliation in three specific areas: (1) Disciplinary Policies; (2) Post-accident Drug Testing Programs; and (3) Employee Incentive Programs. Although neither employee disciplinary policies, post-accident drug testing programs, or employee incentive programs are expressly prohibited by the new rules, employers will need to be careful about how their policies or programs are drafted and enforced so as to not, in the eyes of OSHA, discourage or deter employees from reporting work-related injuries or illnesses.

---

## EMPLOYMENT LAWSCENE ALERT: INCREASED OSHA PENALTIES NOW IN PLACE

Last November, we alerted you ([here](#)) that, in August 2016, OSHA penalties would be increasing significantly. Those new maximum penalties went into effect on August 1, 2016 and can be applied to any citation issued for a violation that occurred after November 2, 2015. The below chart summarizes the previous penalties and the new penalties, which were increased due to a catch-up provision and an additional increase based on the Consumer Price Index:

Type of Violation	Former Maximum Penalty	Maximum Penalty as of 8/1/2016
Willful Violation	\$70,000	\$124,709
Serious Violation	\$7,000	\$12,471
Other-The-Serious Violation	\$7,000	\$12,471
De Minimis Violation	\$7,000	\$12,471
Failure to Abate Violation	\$7,000	\$12,471
Repeat Violation	\$70,000	\$124,709

OSHA penalties will now be increased annually on January 15 based on the Consumer Price Index. Employers must keep a keen eye on safety now more than ever because OSHA's increased enforcement is now coupled with an increase in monetary penalties.

---

## **EMPLOYMENT LAWSCENE ALERT: NEW OSHA ANTI-RETALIATION PROVISION REQUIRES EMPLOYERS TO RETHINK THEIR SAFETY-RELATED POLICIES**

Last week, the Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA) finalized new record-keeping and reporting rules that require certain employers to electronically submit information about workplace injuries and illnesses to OSHA. The electronic reporting requirements of the rule apply only to employers with 250 or more employees and to employers with between 20 and 249 employees in certain "high-risk" industries, such as construction and manufacturing. A full list of the affected industries can be found [here](#). The full rule (which can be found [here](#)) goes into effect January 1, 2017, while certain provisions, like the anti-retaliation provision, go into effect August 10, 2016. Non-personal injury and illness information reported under the rule will be posted on a publicly accessible OSHA website. The new rule does not change the requirement that employers with 10 or more workers in most industries prepare injury reports, compile a log of these incidents, and complete an annual summary of work-related illness and injuries, which OSHA can access during an investigation.

The new rule further requires employers to inform workers of their right to report work-related injuries and illnesses without fear of retaliation and provides additional information on employees' rights to access workplace injury data. Moreover, OSHA's new rule prohibits any workplace policy or practice that could discourage employees from reporting workplace injuries or illnesses. Such policies subject to greater scrutiny under OSHA's new anti-

retaliation rule could include post-accident drug testing policies. Employers will have to review their safety-related policies to determine if their policies or practices run afoul of OSHA’s new anti-retaliation rule or otherwise discourage employees from reporting workplace safety incidents. The anti-retaliation provisions apply to all employers.

OSHA’s stated purpose for the additional reporting and public access are to increase workplace transparency and to encourage employers to increase their efforts to prevent work-related injuries and illnesses. However, employers should be cautioned that such information will make it easier for OSHA to target companies with multiple injuries or illnesses for compliance and enforcement actions, despite any precautions that are being taken, as well as open up companies with high rates of illness or injury to increased union organization.

Employers of all sizes and in all industries should continue to strive to achieve workplace safety. They should also immediately review their workplace safety policies to make sure that appropriate anti-retaliation provisions are included.

---

## **EMPLOYMENT LAWSCENE ALERT: OSHA PENALTIES TO DRAMATICALLY INCREASE IN 2016**

In early November, President Obama signed the Bipartisan Budget Act of 2015. One item that should be of particular note to employers is that, under the Act, OSHA penalties will rise significantly.

Because OSHA penalties have been consistent for over two decades, once the Act goes into place on July 1, 2016, there is an immediate “catch-up” provision that will adjust the penalties as much as 150%. However, OSHA is also required to adjust the penalties on January 15 every year based on the Consumer Price Index (“CPI”). Because the CPI has increased 82% since the OSHA penalties were set in 1990, there is a possibility that the fines could be raised by that amount. The below chart shows the current penalty amounts and the amounts that they may be increased to:

<b>Type of Violation</b>	<b>Current Maximum Penalty</b>	<b>Adjusted Maximum (150%)</b>	<b>Adjusted Maximum (182%)</b>
Willful Violation	\$70,000	\$105,000	\$127,400
Serious Violation	\$7,000	\$10,500	\$12,740
Other-The-Serious Violation	\$7,000	\$10,500	\$12,740

De Minimis Violation	\$7,000	\$10,500	\$12,740
Failure to Abate Violation	\$7,000	\$10,500	\$12,740
Repeat Violation	\$70,000	\$105,000	\$127,400

These new fines will go into place August 1, 2016. Therefore, employers must keep a keen eye on safety now more than ever because OSHA has increased enforcement and now will increase its monetary penalties.

These new fines will go into place August 1, 2016. Therefore, employers must keep a keen eye on safety now more than ever because OSHA has increased enforcement and now will increase its monetary penalties.